arXiv:1101.3693v8 [math.DG] 10 Apr 2012

Locally Conformally Kähler Structures on Homogeneous Spaces

Keizo Hasegawa and Yoshinobu Kamishima

Abstract

We show as a main result a structure theorem of compact homogeneous locally conformal Kähler (or shortly l.c.K.) manifolds, asserting that it has a structure of holomorphic principal fiber bundle over a flag manifold with fiber a 1-dimensional complex torus. We also discuss compact locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds; and classify all complex surfaces admitting homogeneous and locally homogeneous l.c.K. structures.

1 Introduction

A *locally conformally Kähler structure*, or shortly *l.c.K. structure* on a differentiable manifold M is a Hermitian structure h on M with its associated fundamental form Ω satisfying $d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega$ for some closed 1-form θ (which is so called Lee form). A differentiable manifold M is called a *locally conformal Kähler manifold*, or shortly *l.c.K. manifold* if M admits a l.c.K. structure. Note that l.c.K. structure Ω is globally conformally Kähler (or Kähler) if and only if θ is exact (or 0 respectively); and a compact l.c.K. manifold of non-Kähler type (i.e. the Lee form is neither 0 nor exact) never admits a Kähler structure.

There have been recently extensive studies on l.c.K. manifolds (c.f. [20] [5], [11], [1], [7]). In this paper we are concerned with l.c.K. structures on homogeneous and locally homogeneous spaces of Lie groups. There exist many examples of compact non-Kähler l.c.K. manifolds which are homogeneous or locally homogeneous spaces of certain Lie groups, such as Hopf surfaces, Inoue surfaces, Kodaira surfaces, or some class of elliptic surfaces (c.f. [1], [10]). Their l.c.K. structures are *homogeneous* or *locally homogeneous* in the sense we will explicitly define in this paper. Note that homogeneous l.c.K.

structures on Lie groups are nothing but left-invariant l.c.K. structures, which can be considered as l.c.K. structures on their Lie algebras.

In this paper we show as a main result a structure theorem of compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds, asserting that it has a structure of a holomorphic principal fiber bundle over a flag manifold with fiber a 1-dimensional complex torus. As an application of the theorem, we can determine explicitly all compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds of complex dimension 2, which turn out to be Hopf surfaces of homogeneous type (cf. [1]). We can also determine all compact locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds of complex dimension 2, which cover most of non-Kähler complex surfaces of real homogeneous type (cf. [1], [10]). Homogeneous l.c.K. structures on Lie groups are nothing but left invariant l.c.K. structures on them. We have obtained a classifications of certain l.c.K. Lie groups of general dimension: nilpotent and reductive Lie groups, which are all of *Vaisman type.* There is a class of locally homogeneous l.c.K. solvmanifolds considered as generalized Inoue manifolds, which are of non-Vaisman type ([14]). We need a further study of l.c.K. solvmanifolds; and l.c.K. manifolds of non-Vaisman type in general.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we review some terminologies and basic results in the field of homogeneous spaces and l.c.K geometry, relevant to our arguments in this paper on homogeneous and locally homogeneous l.c.K. structures.

Definition 1. *A homogeneous locally conformally Kähler (or homogeneous l.c.K) manifold M is a homogeneous Hermitian manifold with its homogeneous Hermitian structure h, defining a locally conformally Kähler structure Ω on M.*

Definition 2. *If a simply connected homogeneous l.c.K. manifold $M = G/H$, where G is a connected Lie group and H a closed subgroup of G, admits a free action of a discrete subgroup Γ of G from the left, then we call a double coset space $\Gamma\backslash G/H$ a locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifold.*

A homogeneous manifold M can be written as G/H, where G is a connected Lie group with closed Lie subgroup H. If we take the universal covering Lie group $\widehat{G}$ of G with the projection $p : \widehat{G} \to G$ and the pull-back $\widehat{H} = p^{-1}(H)$ of H, then we have the universal covering $\widehat{M} = \widehat{G}/H_0$ of M, where H_0 is the connected component of the identity of $\widehat{H}$; and $\Gamma = \widehat{H}/H_0$ is the fundamental group of M acting from the right.

In case M is a homogeneous l.c.K. manifold, $\widehat{M}$ is also a homogeneous l.c.K. manifold; and since the Lee form $\widehat{\theta} = p^{-1}\theta$ is exact the fundamental form $\widehat{\Omega} = p^{-1}\Omega$ is globally conformal to a Kähler structure ω. The Lie group $\widehat{G}$ acts holomorphically and homothetically on $(\widehat{M}, \omega)$ from the left; and the fundamental group Γ acts likewise on $(\widehat{M}, \omega)$ from the right. Conversely, a Kähler structure ω on $\widehat{M} = \widehat{G}/H_0$ with holomorphic and homothetic action of $\widehat{G}$ from the left and Γ from the right on $(\widehat{M}, \omega)$ defines a l.c.K. structure Ω on $M = G/H$, where $H = H_0 \rtimes \Gamma$ with $\Gamma \cap H_0 = \{0\}$ and $\Gamma \subset N_{\widehat{G}}(H_0)$. If Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ acting properly discontinously and freely on G/H_0 from the left, then we can define a locally homogeneous l.c.K. structure on $\Gamma\backslash G/H_0$. In particular, for a simply connected Lie group G with a left invariant l.c.K. structure Ω and a discrete subgroup Γ of G, Ω induces a locally homogeneous l.c.K. structure $\widetilde{\Omega}$ on $\Gamma\backslash G$.

Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous space of a connected Lie group G with closed subgroup H. Then the tangent space of M is given as a G-bundle $G \times_H \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ over $M = G/H$ with fiber $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, where the action of H on the fiber is given by $\mathrm{Ad}(x)\,(x \in H)$. A vector field on M is a section of this bundle; and a p-form on M is a section of G-bundle $G \times_H \wedge^p(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$, where the action of H on the fiber is given by $\mathrm{Ad}(x)^*\,(x \in H)$. An invariant vector field (respectively p-form) is canonically identified with an element of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^H$ (respectively $(\wedge^p(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)^H$), which is the set of elements of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ (respectively $\wedge^p(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$) invariant by the adjoint action of H. A complex structure J on M is likewise considered as an element J of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ such that $J^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(x)J = J\mathrm{Ad}(x)\,(x \in H)$. Note that we can also consider an invariant p-form as an element of $\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^*$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{h}$ and invariant by the action $\mathrm{Ad}(x)^*\,(x \in H)$.

We recall that $\mathfrak{g}$ is *decomposable* if there is a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h},$$

with $\mathrm{Ad}(x)(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ for $x \in H$. This is the case, for instance, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive Lie algebra. In case $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable, the tangent space of $M = G/H$ is given by G-bundle $G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$ over $M = G/H$, identifying $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ with $\mathfrak{m}$. An invariant vector field (respectively p-form) is identified with an element of $(\mathfrak{m})^H$ (respectively $(\wedge^p(\mathfrak{m})^*)^H$), which is the set of elements of $\mathfrak{m}$ (respectively $\wedge^p(\mathfrak{m})^*$) invariant by the adjoint action of H. A complex structure J on M can be considered as an element J of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $J^2 = -1$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(x)J = J\mathrm{Ad}(x)\,(x \in H)$. It is also convenient to consider a complex structure J on M as an element J of $\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $J^2 = -1$ on $\mathfrak{m}$, $J\mathfrak{h} = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(x)J = J\mathrm{Ad}(x)\,(x \in H)$.

For a $\mathfrak{g}$-module M, we can define p-cochains as the p-linear alternating functions on $\mathfrak{g}^p$, which are $\mathfrak{g}$-modules defined by

$$(\gamma f)(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p) = \gamma f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} f(x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, [\gamma, x_i], x_{i+1}, ..., x_p),$$

where $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$ and f is a p-cochain (cf. [10]). The coboundary operator is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(df)(x_0, x_1, ..., x_p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \gamma_i f(x_0, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_p)\\ &+ \sum_{j<k} (-1)^{j+k} f([x_j, x_k], x_0, ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \widehat{x_k}, ..., x_p).\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the case when $\mathfrak{g}$-module is defined by the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbf{R}$, assigning $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ to $-\theta(X)$ for the Lee form θ on a l.c.K. Lie algbera $\mathfrak{g}$. The corresponding coboundary oparetor is given by

$$d_\theta : w \to -\theta \wedge w + dw,$$

and its cohomology group $H^p_\theta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ is called the *p-th twisted cohomology group* with respect to the Lee form θ. The condition of l.c.K. structure Ω on $\mathfrak{g}$ is expressed by $d_\theta \Omega = 0$. We know [4, 10] that for a nilpotent or reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, all of the cohomology groups $H^p_\theta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ $(p \geq 0)$ vanish; and in particular we have $\Omega = -\theta \wedge \psi + d\psi$ for some 1-form ψ.

3 A structure theorem of compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds

In this section we prove a structure theorem of compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds, which asserts that such a compact complex manifold is biholomorphic to a holomorphic principal bundle over a flag manifold with fiber a 1-dimensional complex torus. This result may be compared with the well-known theorem that a compact homogeneous Kähler manifold is biholomorphic to a Kählerian product of a complex torus and a flag manifold.

Let M be a compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifold of dimension $(2m+2)$, $m \geq 1$, with its associated fundamental form Ω and Lee form θ, satisfying $d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega$. M can be written as G/H, where G is a connected holomorphic isometry group of the Hermitian manifold (M, h) and H a closed subgroup of G which contains no normal Lie subgroups of G. Since G is a closed subgroup of the isometry group of (M, h), it is a compact Lie group; in particular G is *reductive*, that is, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G can be written as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{s}$$

where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of H. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ also admits a decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$$

satisfying $\mathrm{Ad}(x)(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{m}\,(x \in H)$ for a subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since the Lee form θ is invariant, its associated vector field ξ (which is called *Lee field*) with respect to the metric h is also invariant; and thus ξ may be taken as an element of $\mathfrak{m}$ invariant by $\mathrm{Ad}(x)$ for any $x \in H$.

Any invariant form on M can be considered as an element of $\wedge^*\mathfrak{m}$ which is invariant under the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}(x)$ for any $x \in H$. In particular, we consider Ω, θ as the elements of $\wedge^*\mathfrak{m}$ satisfying these conditions and

$$d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega \bmod \mathfrak{h}.$$

From now on we assume M is of non-Kähler type; and thus θ is a non-zero, closed but not exact form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that since $\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and θ is a non-zero closed form, $\theta([X, Y]) = d\theta(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and thus θ vanishes on $\mathfrak{s}$. In particular we must have $\dim \mathfrak{t} \geq 1$ and $\theta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$.

A homogeneous complex structure J on M may be considered as an endomorphism J of $\mathfrak{m}$ with $J^2 = -1$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ which is invariant by the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{h}$: $[X, JY] = J[X, Y]$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

We may assume that the Lee field ξ satisfies $\theta(\xi) = 1$. We define the Reeb field $\eta = J\xi$ with its associated 1-form ϕ satisfying $\phi(\eta) = 1$.

We can express $\mathfrak{g}$ as

$$\mathfrak{g} = <\xi> + \mathfrak{g}', \; \mathfrak{g}' = <\eta> + \mathfrak{k},$$

where $<\xi>$ is the 1-dimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by ξ, $\mathfrak{k} = \ker \phi|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ with $\mathfrak{k} \supset \mathfrak{h}$, and both of these sums are orthogonal direct sums with respect to h.

Lemma 1. *We have* $\Omega = -\theta \wedge \phi + d\phi \bmod \mathfrak{h}$, $d\phi \in \wedge^* \mathfrak{k}$.

Proof. It is known (due to Hochschild and Serre [10]) that there exists a 1-form $\psi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\Omega = -\theta \wedge \psi + d\psi$. Let σ be the dual element of ψ such that $\psi(\sigma) = 1$ and $\psi(\xi) = \theta(\sigma) = 0$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\Omega(\sigma, \xi) = 1$; and thus $d\psi(\sigma, \xi) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by ξ, σ, and $\mathfrak{q}$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to Ω. Since Ω is non-degenerate mod $\mathfrak{h}$ and ξ, σ are linearly independent, there exist $X_i, Y_j \in \mathfrak{q}$, $i, j = 1, 2, ..., m$ which are linearly independent and $d\psi = \sum \rho_i \wedge \tau_i$, where ρ_i, τ_i are the dual forms corresponding to X_i, Y_i.

The associated metric $h(U, V) = \Omega(JU, V)$ is positive definite. Since $h(\xi, \xi) = \Omega(J\xi, \xi) = 1$, we can see $J\xi = \sigma$. In fact, we can set $J\xi = \sigma + Z$, and $J\sigma = -\xi + Z'$ for $Z \in < \xi, X_i, Y_j >, Z' \in < \sigma, X_i, Y_j >, i, j = 1, 2, ..., m$; and thus we have $Z' = -JZ$. Then we have

$$\Omega(\xi, J\xi) = \Omega(\sigma + Z, J\sigma + JZ) = \Omega(\sigma, J\sigma) + \Omega(Z, JZ),$$

$$\Omega(\sigma, J\sigma) = \Omega(-\xi + Z', -J\xi + JZ') = \Omega(\xi, J\xi) + \Omega(Z', JZ'),$$

from which we get $h(Z, Z) + h(Z', Z') = 0$; and thus $Z = Z' = 0$. Since $\eta = J\xi$ by definition we must have $\sigma = \eta$; and thus $\psi = \phi$. We also have $JX_i = Y_i$, $i, j = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Lemma 2. $1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{t} \leq 2$, *and* $\mathfrak{t} \subset < \xi, \eta > \bmod \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. We see by Lemma 1 that $d\phi$ is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{k} \bmod \mathfrak{h}$. Since $d\phi(X, Y) = \phi([X, Y]) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ and any $Y \in \mathfrak{k}$, we have $\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{k} = n - 2$, we must have $\dim \mathfrak{t} \leq 2$ and $\mathfrak{t} \subset < \xi, \eta > + \mathfrak{h}$. Hence in case $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 2$, we have $< \xi, \eta >= \mathfrak{t} \bmod \mathfrak{h}$.

In case $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{s}$ with $\mathfrak{s} =< \eta > + \mathfrak{k}$, and we can assume $\xi = t + b\eta$, where t is a generator of $\mathfrak{t}$ and $b \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that the complex structure J may be expressed with respect to a basis $\{t, \eta\}$ as $Jt = bt + (1 + b^2)\eta, J\eta = -t - b\eta$; and $\theta = t^*, \phi = \eta^* - bt^*$ $(t^*, \eta^* \in \mathfrak{g}^*)$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3. ξ, η *are infinitesimal automorphisms of* J *and infinitesimal isometries (Killing fields) with respect to the metric* h.

Proof. Any elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ are clearly infinitesimal automorphisms of J and Killing fields. Therefore, in case $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 2$ the assertion is clear. In case $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$, since Jt is also an infinitesimal automorphism and $Jt = bt + (1 + b^2)\eta$ by Lemma 1, $\eta = J\xi$ is also an infinitesimal automorphism.

Since $\mathcal{L}_\eta \Omega = 0$ for the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}$, η is a Killing field if and only it is an infinitesimal automorphism (c.f. [17]). Hence η is a Killing field, and thus $\xi = t + b\eta$ is also a Killing field. Q.E.D.

Remark 1. *It is not apriori clear that ξ and η are Killing fields: we have shown in Lemma 2 that ξ and η induce 1-parameter groups of isometries acting on M from the right.*

Proposition 1. *A compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifold M admits a holomorphic isometric flow, which is a Lie group homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}^1$ to the holomorphic isometry group of M.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ be the holomorphic automorphism group of M. Then we know that $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ is a complex Lie group with its associated complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(M)$ which consists of holomorphic vector fields on M.

Let $\mathrm{Isom}(M)$ be the (maximal connected) isometry group of M. Then we know that $\mathrm{Isom}(M)$ is a compact real Lie group with its associated Lie algebras $\mathfrak{i}(M)$ which consists of all Killing vector fields on M. Note that G is contained in the intersection of $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ and $\mathrm{Isom}(M)$.

Since the Lee field ξ is an infinitesimal automorphism on M by Lemma 2, $\xi - \sqrt{-1}J\xi$ is a holomorphic vector field on M. Hence the homomorphism $\overline{\phi}$ of Lie algebras mapping $\xi - \sqrt{-1}J\xi$ to $\mathfrak{a}(M)$ induces a homomorphism ϕ of complex Lie groups mapping $\mathbf{C}$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ of which the image belongs to the holomorphic isometry group G on M. Q.E.D.

Theorem 1. *A compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifold M is biholomorphic to a holomorphic principal fiber bundle over a flag manifold with fiber a 1-dimensional complex torus $T^1_{\mathbf{C}}$.*

To be more precise, we can express M as a homogeneous space form G/H, where G is a compact connected Lie group of holomorphic isometries on M which is of the form

$$G = S^1 \times S,$$

where S is a compact semi-simple Lie group, including a closed subgroup H of G. S/H is a compact homogeneous Sasaki manifold, which is a principal fiber bundle over a flag manifold S/Q with fiber $S^1 = Q/H$ for some parabolic subgroup Q of S including H.

The principal S^1-action on the Sasaki manifold S/H together with the canonical S^1-action on G/H induces an underlining real analytic fiber bundle structure over the flag manifold S/Q with fiber a 2-dimensional real torus $T^2_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{q} =< \eta > + \mathfrak{h}$, then $\mathfrak{q}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}'$; in fact we have $\mathfrak{q} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}' \,|\, d\phi(X, \mathfrak{g}') = 0\}$. (see [3]). We will see that $\mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{q}$. We first observe $[\eta, X] \in \mathfrak{k}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then, since we have $d\phi([\eta, X], \mathfrak{k}) = \phi([[\eta, X], \mathfrak{k}]) = -\phi([[X, \mathfrak{k}], \eta]) - \phi([[\mathfrak{k}, \eta], X]) = -d\phi([X, \mathfrak{k}], \eta) - d\phi([\mathfrak{k}, \eta], X]) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, non-degeneracy of $d\phi$ on $\mathfrak{k}$ implies that $[\eta, X] \in \mathfrak{h}$.

We can reduce the case $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 2$ to the case $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$. In fact, since$\mathfrak{t} =< \xi, \eta > \mod \mathfrak{h}$, and $\eta \notin \mathfrak{t}$, we must have $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}' =< \eta > + \mathfrak{s}$ with $\mathfrak{h} \not\subset \mathfrak{s}$. Hence we have $\eta \in \mathfrak{s} \mod \mathfrak{h}$ with $\eta \notin \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\eta = \eta_1 + h_1$ ($\eta_1 \in \mathfrak{s}, h_1 \in \mathfrak{h}$); and set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 + \mathfrak{h}_2$ with $\mathfrak{h}_1 =< h_1 >$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} = (< \xi, \eta > + \mathfrak{s})/\mathfrak{h} = (< \xi, \eta > + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{h}_1)/\mathfrak{h} = (< \xi > + \mathfrak{s})/\mathfrak{h}_2,$$

with $\eta_1 \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2 \subset \mathfrak{s}$.

Let S and Q be the corresponding Lie subgroup of G, then Q is a closed subgroup of S since we have $Q = \{x \in S \,|\, \mathrm{Ad}(x)^*\phi = \phi\}$, which is clearly a closed subset of S; in particular, H is a normal subgroup of Q with $Q/H = S^1$, and η generates an S^1 action on S. (cf. [3]). Since $d\phi$ defines a homogeneous symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{k} \mod \mathfrak{h}$, S/Q admits a homogeneous symplectic structure compatible with the complex structure J, defining a Kähler structure on S/Q (due to Borel [2]); in particular Q is a parabolic subgroup of S.

We have seen that the Lie subalgebra $< \xi > + < \eta >$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to a 2-dimensional torus T^2 of G; $\xi - \sqrt{-1}\eta$ defines a 1-dimensional complex torus action on $M = G/H$ from the right which is holomorphic and isometric. We have $M = S^1 \times S/H$, where $S/H \to S/Q$ is a principal S^1-bundle over the flag manifold S/Q; and $M \to S/Q$ is a holomorphic principal fiber bundle over the flag manifold S/Q with fiber $T^1_{\mathbf{C}}$. Q.E.D.

Remark 2. *The Lee field ξ is parallel with respect to the Riemannian connection: we have*

$$h(\triangledown_X \xi, Y) = h([X, \xi], Y) - h([\xi, Y], X) + h([Y, X], \xi) = h([Y, X], \xi) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ since ξ is a Killing field and the Lee form is closed.

Remark 3. *In the paper [19] Vaisman showed the above theorem under the assumption that M is of* Vaisman type*, that is, the Lee field is parallel. We have given a more precise proof to the theorem without any assumption: in particular, a compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifold is necessarily of Vaisman type.*

Corollary 1. *There exist no compact complex homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds; in particular neither complex Lie groups nor complex paralellizable manifolds admit their compatible l.c.K. structures.*

Proof. We know that only compact complex Lie groups are complex tori, which can not act transitively on compact l.c.K. manifolds. Q.E.D.

We know (due to Vaisman [18], Gauduchon-Ornea [7] and Belgun [1]) that there is a class of Hopf surfaces which admit homogeneous l.c.K. structures. We can show, applying the above theorem, that the only compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds of complex dimension 2 are Hopf surfaces of homogeneous type. We first determine, recalling a result of Sasaki [15], all homogeneous complex structures on $G = S^1 \times SU(2)$, or equivalently all complex structures on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$.

Lemma 4. *Let* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(2) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ *be a reductive Lie algebra with basis* $\{T, X, Y, Z\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, where* T *is a generator of the center* $\mathbf{R}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, and*

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ Y = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Z = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that non-vanishing bracket multiplications are given by

$$[X, Y] = Z,\ [Y, Z] = X,\ [Z, X] = Y.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}$ *admits a family of complex structures* $J_\delta, \delta = c + \sqrt{-1}\, d$ *defined by*

$$J_\delta(T - dX) = cX,\ J_\delta(cX) = -(T - dX),\ J_\delta Y = \pm Z,\ J_\delta Z = \mp Y.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} + \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ be the complexficaion of $\mathfrak{g}$, which has a basis $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{C}} = \{T, U, V, W\}$, where

$$U = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ V = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ W = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the bracket multiplication defined by

$$[U, V] = V,\ [U, W] = -W,\ [V, W] = \frac{1}{2}U.$$

Here we have

$$U = \sqrt{-1}\, X,\ V = \frac{1}{2}(Z - \sqrt{-1}\, Y),\ W = -\frac{1}{2}(Z + \sqrt{-1}\, Y),$$

and their conjugations given by

$$\overline{T} = T,\ \overline{U} = -U,\ \overline{V} = -W,\ \overline{W} = -V.$$

We know that there is a one to one correspondence between complex structures J and complex subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{h} + \overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\mathfrak{h} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{h}} = \{0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ generated by T and $\mathfrak{b}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ generated by U, V, W, then we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ (direct sum). Let π be the projection $\pi : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \to \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ the image of $\mathfrak{h}$ by π, then we have $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c} + \overline{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{c} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{c}} = 1$. We can set a basis η of $\mathfrak{h}$ as $\eta = \{P + Q, R\}$ ($P \in \mathfrak{a}, Q, R \in \mathfrak{b}$) such that $Q \in \mathfrak{c} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\gamma = \{Q, R\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{c}$. Furthermore, we can assume that $Q + \overline{Q} = 0$ so that Q is of the form $aU + bV + \overline{b}W$ ($a \in \mathbf{R}, b \in \mathbf{C}$). We first consider the case where $R = qV + rW$ ($q, r \in \mathbf{C}$). Since $[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}] = \mathfrak{b}$, $[Q, R] = \alpha R$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$. We see by simple calculation that if $b \neq 0$, then $q = sb, r = s\overline{b}$ for some non zero constant $s \in \mathbf{C}$. But then $\overline{Q} = sQ$, contradicting to the fact that $\beta = \{Q, R, \overline{R}\}$ consists a basis of $\mathfrak{b}$. Hence we have $b = 0$, and $q = 0, r \neq 0$ with $\alpha = a$ or $q \neq 0, r = 0$ with $\alpha = -a$. Therefore we can take, as a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, $\eta = \{T + \delta U, V\}$ or $\{T + \delta U, W\}$ with $\delta = c + \sqrt{-1}\,d \in \mathbf{C}$. It should be noted that the latter defines a conjugate complex structure of the former, which are not equivalent but define biholomorphic complex structures on its associated Lie group G.

In the case where $R = pU + qV + rW$, $p, q, r \in \mathbf{C}$ with $p \neq 0$, we show that there exists an automorphism $\widehat{\phi}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ which maps $\mathfrak{h}_0$ to $\mathfrak{h}$, preserving the conjugation, where $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the first type with $p = 0$. As in the first case, we must have $[Q, R] = \eta R$ for some non zero constant $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$. We see, by simple calculation that $b, q, r \neq 0$ and $(a - \eta)q = b, (a + \eta)r = \overline{b}$; in particular we have $a^2 + |b|^2 = \eta^2$ with $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$. We may assume that $\eta < 0$, taking $-X$ for X if necessary. Then an automorphism ϕ on $\mathfrak{b}$ defined by

$$\phi(U) = Q,\ \phi(V) = \frac{|b|}{\sqrt{2|\eta|}} R$$

extends to the automorphism $\widehat{\phi}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ which satisfies the required condition. Q.E.D.

Proposition 2. *Let $G = S^1 \times SU(2)$ (which is, as is well known, diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^3$). Then all homogeneous complex structures on G admit their compatible homogeneous l.c.K. structures, defining a primary Hopf surfaces S_λ which are compact quotient spaces of the form W/Γ_λ, where $W = \mathbf{C}^2 \backslash \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and Γ_λ is a cyclic group of holomorphic automorphisms on W generated by a contraction $f : (z_1, z_2) \to (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2)$ with $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$.*

Proof. We consider the following canonical diffeomorphism Φ_δ, which turns out to be biholomorphic for each homogeneous complex structure J_δ on $\mathfrak{g}$ and λ_δ:

$$\Phi_\delta : \mathbf{R} \times SU(2) \longrightarrow W$$

defined by

$$(t, z_1, z_2) \longrightarrow (\lambda_\delta^t z_1, \lambda_\delta^t z_2),$$

where $SU(2)$ is identified with $S^3 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbf{C} \,|\, |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}$ by the correspondence:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -\overline{z}_2 \\ z_2 & \overline{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (z_1, z_2),$$

and $\lambda_\delta = e^{c+\sqrt{-1}\,d}$. Then we see that Φ_δ is a biholomorphic map. Note that we have the Lee field $\xi = T - \frac{d}{c}X$, which is irregular for an irrational $\frac{d}{c}$, and the Reeb field $\eta = cX$, which is always regular.

It is now clear that Φ_δ induces a biholomorphism between $G = S^1 \times SU(2)$ with homogeneous complex structure J_δ and a primary Hopf surface $S_{\lambda_\delta} = W/\Gamma_{\lambda_\delta}$. A secondary Hopf surface with homogeneous l.c.K. structure can be obtained as a quotient space of a primary Hopf surface S_{λ_δ} by some finite subgroup of G. For instance, $U(2)$ is a quotient Lie group of G by the central subgroup $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(1, I), (-1, -I)\}$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. *Only compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds of complex dimension* 2 *are Hopf surfaces of homogeneous type.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that any compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifold of complex dimension 2 is a Hopf surface of homogeneous type in Proposition 2. As we have seen in Theorem 1, a compact homogeneous l.c.K. manifold M of real dimension 4 is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S$, where S is a compact homogeneous Sasaki manifold of real dimension 3, which is nothing but a Hopf fibration over $\mathbf{C}P^1$ with fiber S^1; and all homogeneous l.c.K. structures on M are those which are obtained in Proposition 2. Q.E.D.

Note 1. We can also consider $= S^1 \times S^3$ as a compact homogeneous space $\tilde{G}/H$, where $\tilde{G} = S^1 \times U(2)$ with its Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2)$ and $H = U(1)$ with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Then, we have a decomposition $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$ for the subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by S, T, Y, Z and $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by W, where

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \; W = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we have $S = X + 2W$, we can take $\mathfrak{m}'$ generated by T, X, Y, Z for $\mathfrak{m}$; and homogeneous l.c.K. structures on $\tilde{G}/H$ are the same as those on G. In other words any homogeneous l.c.K. structures on G can be extended as those on $\tilde{G}/H$. Furthermore, we can construct locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds $\Gamma\backslash\hat{G}/H$ for some discrete subgroups Γ of $\hat{G}$, where $\hat{G} = \mathbf{R} \times U(2)$ (see Section 3 for the definition of *locally homogeneous l.c.K.* structures). For instance, let $\Gamma_{p,q}\,(p, q \neq 0)$ be a discrete subgroup of $\hat{G}$:

$$\Gamma_{p,q} = \{(k, \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1}\,pk} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\sqrt{-1}\,qk} \end{pmatrix}) \in \mathbf{R} \times U(2) \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Then $\Gamma_{p,q}\backslash\hat{G}/H$ is biholomorphic to a Hopf surface $S_{p,q} = W/\Gamma_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$, where $\Gamma_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ is the cyclic group of automorphisms on W generated by

$$\phi : (z_1, z_2) \longrightarrow (\lambda_1 z_1, \lambda_2 z_2)$$

with $\lambda_1 = e^{r+\sqrt{-1}\,p}, \lambda_2 = e^{r+\sqrt{-1}\,q}, r \neq 0$. In fact, if we take a homogeneous complex structure J_r on $\hat{G}/H$ induced from the diffeomorphism $\Phi_r : \hat{G}/H \to W$ defined by $(t, z_1, z_2) \longrightarrow (e^{rt}z_1, e^{rt}z_2)$, Φ_r induces a biholomorphism between $\Gamma_{p,q}\backslash\hat{G}/H$ and $S_{p,q}$. Note that in case $p = q$, $S_{p,q}$ is biholomorphic to S_λ with $\lambda = r + \sqrt{-1}\,q$.

4 Homogeneous l.c.K. structures on Lie groups

A homogeneous l.c.K. structure on a Lie group G is nothing but a left invariant l.c.K. structure on G. Since G can be expressed as $\widehat{G}/\Delta$, where Δ is a finite subgroup of the centre of $\widehat{G}$, G admits a l.c.K. structure Ω if and only if $\widehat{G}$ admits a l.c.K. structure $\hat{\Omega}$, or equivalently the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G admits a l.c.K. structure $\tilde{\Omega}$ in $\wedge\,\mathfrak{g}^*$.

The following result leads to a result of Sawai [16] on compact locally homogeneous l.c.K. nilmanifolds. The proof is much simplified but essentially in the same vein as the original one.

Theorem 3. *A simply connected nilpotent Lie group G of dimension $2n$ admits a homogeneous l.c.K. structure if and only if it is of Heisenberg type: $\mathcal{H}_{2n} = \mathbf{R} \times H_{2n-1}$ with canonical l.c.K. structure, where H_{2n-1} is a $(2n-1)$-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with L.c.K. form Ω. Ω is a non-degenerate 2-form such that $d\Omega = \alpha \wedge \Omega$ for some closed 1-form α. We know (due to Dixmier [4]) that there exists a 1-form β such that $\Omega = -\alpha \wedge \beta + d\beta$.

Let A, B be the dual element of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to α, β. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by A, B, and $\mathfrak{n}$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h}$ w.r.t. Ω. Since Ω is non-degenerate, there exist $X_i, Y_j \in \mathfrak{n}$, $i, j = 1, ..., m$ such that $\mathfrak{n}$ is generated by X_i, Y_j, and $d\beta = \sum \rho_i \wedge \sigma_i$, where ρ_i, σ_i are the dual forms corresponding to X_i, Y_i.

First note that since α is closed we have $A \notin [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Since $\beta([A, \mathfrak{n}]) = d\beta(A, \mathfrak{n}) = \Omega(A, \mathfrak{n}) = 0$, we have $[A, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Similarly we have $[B, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$. We also have $\beta([X_i, Y_j]) = d\beta(X_i, Y_j) = \Omega(X_i, Y_j) = \delta_{ij}$, and thus $[X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{ij} B \bmod \mathfrak{n}$ $(i, j = 1, ..., m)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{n}' =< B > + \mathfrak{n}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$

Let $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}]$, $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, there exists some positive integer k such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} = \{0\}$. We will show that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \{B\}$. In fact, any element Z of $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)}$ can be written as $Z = bB + \sum x_i X_i + y_j Y_j$ $(b, x_i, y_j \in \mathbf{R})$. Then $[Z, Y_i] = x_i B = 0 \mod \mathfrak{n}$, so $x_i = 0$. In the same way we get $y_j = 0$; and thus $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \{B\}$.

As observed in Lemma 1 we see that $JA = B, JX_i = Y_i, i = 1, 2, ..., m$. We can thus consider $\mathfrak{g}$ as an extension of $\mathfrak{n}'$ by A, where $\mathfrak{n}'$ is an extension of B by $\mathfrak{n}$:

$$0 \to \mathfrak{n}' \to \mathfrak{g} \to A \to 0$$

$$0 \to B \to \mathfrak{n}' \to \mathfrak{n} \to 0$$

Since $(\mathfrak{n}, J)$ is a nilpotent Kähler algebra with Kähler form $d\beta$, $\mathfrak{n}$ must be abelian (due to Hano [8]). Since B belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, B is an infinitesimal automorphism of J, that is, $\mathcal{L}_B J = 0$; and since $A = -JB$, A is also an infinitesimal automorphism of J, that is, $\mathcal{L}_A J = 0$. We see by simple calculation that $\mathcal{L}_B \Omega = \mathcal{L}_A \Omega = 0$. Hence, we have $\mathcal{L}_B h = \mathcal{L}_A h = 0$, that is, A, B are Killing fields. In particular, we have $h([A, X], Y) + h(X, [A, Y]) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We will show that A is in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose not; then, since $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent, there exists $X_0, Y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[A, X_0] = Y_0$ is non-zero and $[A, Y_0] = 0$. Then we have $h([A, X_0], Y_0) + h(X_0, [A, Y_0]) = h(Y_0, Y_0)$ is non-zero, contradicting to the fact that A is Killing field.

We have shown that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{h}$, which is an abelian ideal generated by A, B, by the abelian algebra $\mathfrak{n}$.

$$0 \to \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{n} \to 0.$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Corollary. A compact nilmanifold M of real dimension $2n$ admits a locally homogeneous l.c.K. structure if and only if it is of Heisenberg type: $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{H}_{2n}$, where Γ is a lattice (uniform discrete subgroup) of $\mathcal{H}_{2n}$.

Remark 4. *A compact locally homogeneous l.c.K. nilmanifold* $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{H}_{2n}$ *has a structure of a holomorphic fiber bundle over a complex torus with fiber a* 1*-dimensional complex torus. It is not a principal holomorphic fiber bundle since the homogeneous complex structure on* $\mathcal{H}_{2n}$ *is not a complex Lie group (see Murakami [13]).*

Remark 5. *The Lee field on a compact locally homogeneous l.c.K. nilmanifold* $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{H}$ *can be irregular for some lattices* Γ.

Proposition 3. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a reductive Lie algebra of dimension* $2m$*; that is,* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{s}$*, where* $\mathfrak{t}$ *is an abelian and* $\mathfrak{s}$ *a semi-simple Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *with* $\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$*. Then* $\mathfrak{g}$ *admits a l.c.K. structure if and only if* $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$ *and* $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{s} = 1$*. In particular a compact Lie group admits a homogeneous l.c.K. structure if and only if it is* $U(2)$*,* $S^1 \times SU(2) \cong S^1 \times Sp(1)$*, or* $S^1 \times SO(3)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a l.c.K. structure Ω. Applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 for the case $\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$, we have $\mathfrak{t} \subset < \xi, \eta >$. Since ϕ is not closed, we must have $\eta \notin \mathfrak{t}$; and thus $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$. We have $\mathfrak{g} = < \xi > + \mathfrak{s}$ with $\eta \in \mathfrak{s}$. Using the notation in the proof of Theorem 1 and applying the argument for the case $\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$, we see that $\mathfrak{q} = < \eta > = \{X \in \mathfrak{s} | \, [\eta, X] = 0\}$; and thus $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{s} = 1$ (cf. [3]). Conversely, we know all of the reductive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{s}$ with $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$ and $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{s} = 1$: $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}l(2, \mathbf{R})$ and $\mathfrak{u}(2) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}u(2) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}o(3)$; and we have already seen that both of them admit l.c.K. structures. Q.E.D.

5 Compact homogeneous and locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds of complex dimension 2

In this section we study compact homogeneous and locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds of complex dimension 2. To be more precise, there exist three types of compact locally homogeneous complex manifolds: (1) homogeneous complex manifold G/H, (2) locally homogeneous complex manifold $\Gamma\backslash G$, where G is a simply connected homogeneous complex unimodular Lie group with a lattice Γ, (3) locally homogeneous complex manifold $\Gamma\backslash G/H$, where G/H is a simply connected homogeneous complex manifold with a non-trivial closed subgroup H and a discrete subgroup Γ of G.

We first classify all unimodular Lie groups of real dimension 4; then pick up those admitting lattices.

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the real nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 3, which has a basis $\{X,Y,Z\}$ with bracket multiplication defined by $[X,Y]=[X,Z]=0$ and $[Y,Z]=-X$. Then, there are two classes of unimodular solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of the form $\mathfrak{n}\rtimes\mathbf{R}$ (semi-direct sum) with $\mathfrak{n}=[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$, where the adjoint representation is given by the following:*

(1) $ad_W X=0, ad_W Y=-Z, ad_W Z=Y$,

(2) $ad_W X=0, ad_W Y=Y, ad_W Z=-Z$,

where W is a generator of $\mathbf{R}$ and $ad_W V=[W,V]$.

Proof. Let $ad_W Y$ and $ad_W Z$ is given by

$$ad_W Y=aY+bZ+pX,\ ad_W Z=cY+dZ+qX.$$

By Jacobi identity, we have

$$[ad_W Y,Z]+[Y,ad_W Z]=ad_W[Y,Z].$$

It follows that $ad_W X=(a+d)X$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular, $a+d=0$; and in particular, we have $ad_W X=0$, that is, X generates the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by the following bracket multiplication:

$$[Y,Z]=-X,[W,X]=0,[W,Y]=aY+bZ,[W,Z]=cY+(-a)Z.$$

In case $a=0$, since $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]=\mathfrak{n}$, we must have $b\neq 0, c\neq 0$. If we put $W'=\frac{1}{c}W, X'=-\frac{b}{c}X, Y'=Y, Z'=-\frac{b}{c}Z$, then we get

$$[Y',Z']=-X',[W',Y']=-Z',[W',Z']=Y'.$$

In case $a\neq 0$, the coefficient matrix has the determinant $-a^2-bc\neq 0$ and trace 0. If $a^2+bc>0$, then it is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\alpha,\beta\,(\alpha+\beta=0)$. Hence we can assume $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form

$$[Y,Z]=-X,[W,Y]=Y,[W,Z]=-Z.$$

If $a^2+bc<0$, then we can assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form

$$[Y,Z]=-X,[W,Y]=Y+bZ,[W,Z]=cY-Z,$$

where $b, c \neq 0$ and $1 + bc < 0$.

If we put $Y' = Y - \frac{1}{c}Z$, then we have

$$[Y', Z] = -X,\ [W, Y'] = \frac{bc+1}{c}Z,\ [W, Z] = cY'$$

If we put $Z' = -\frac{bc+1}{c}Z$, $W' = \frac{1}{c}W$, $X' = -\frac{bc+1}{c}X$, then we get

$$[Y', Z'] = -X',\ [W', Y'] = -Z',\ [W', Z'] = Y'$$

Q.E.D.

Note 2. A simply connected solvable Lie group associated with the unimodular solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of type (1), or of type (2) admits a lattice, defining a secondary Kodaira surface, or an Inoue surface of type S^+ respectively. In particular, any simply connected solvable Lie group of dimension 4 corresponding to a unimodular solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the form $\mathfrak{n} \rtimes \mathbf{R}$ with $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ admits a lattice.

Proposition 5. *There are six classes of unimodular solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of the form $\mathbf{R}^3 \rtimes \mathbf{R}$, where the adjoint representation is given by the following:*

$$ad_W X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij} X_j\ , i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{R}^3$, W a generator of $\mathbf{R}$, and $A = (a_{ij})$ a 3×3 real matrix with $\mathrm{Tr}A = 0$. Taking a suitable basis and a generator, we can classify A into six types according to its eigenvalues:

(3) all the eigenvalues are zero

$$(i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (ii) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) only one of the eigenvalues is zero

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix},\ a \in \mathbf{R}\,(a \neq 0).$$

(5) zero and pure imaginary complex eigenvalues

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ b \in \mathbf{R}\,(b \neq 0).$$

(6) three distinct real eigenvalues

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(a+b) \end{pmatrix}, \ a, b \in \mathbf{R}\,(a, b \neq 0).$$

(7) a single and double eigenvalues

$$(i) \begin{pmatrix} -2a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (ii) \begin{pmatrix} -2a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \ a \in \mathbf{R}\,(a \neq 0).$$

(8) one real and non-real complex eigenvalues

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & b & a \end{pmatrix}, \ a, b \in \mathbf{R}\,(a, b \neq 0).$$

Note 3. All simply connected solvable Lie group associated with the unimodular solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of the above types except (7) admits lattices, defining compact solvmanifolds of dimension 4. The unimodular solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of type (3)(ii), (5) and (8) with suitable a, b is corresponding to Kodaira surface, Hyperelliptic surface and Inoue surface of type S^0 respectively.

We can see, from the following lemma that a simply connected solvable Lie group associated with the unimodular solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of type (7) does not admit any lattice.

Lemma 5. *Let $\Phi(t)$ be a polynomial of the form $\Phi(t) = t^3 - mt^2 + nt - 1$ $(m, n \in \mathbf{Z})$. Then, it has a real double root a if and only if $a = 1$ or -1 for which $\Phi(t) = t^3 - 3t^2 + 3t - 1$ or $\Phi(t) = t^3 + t^2 - t - 1$ respectively.*

Proof. Assume that $\Phi(t)$ has a double root a and another root b. Then we have that $a^2b = 1, 2a + b = m, a^2 + 2ab = n$, from which we deduce that $ma^2 - 2na + 3 = 0, 3a^2 - 2ma + n = 0$; and thus $2(m^2 - 3n)a = mn - 9$. If $m^2 = 3n$, then $m = n = 3$ and $a = 1$. If $m^2 \neq 3n$, then we have that $a = \frac{mn-9}{2(m^2-3n)}$, which is a rational number. Since we have that $2a + \frac{1}{a^2} = m \in \mathbf{Z}$, a must be 1 or -1.

A lattice Γ of a simply connected solvable Lie group associated with the unimodular solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of type $\mathbf{R}^3 \rtimes \mathbf{R}$ is of the form $\mathbf{Z}^3 \rtimes \mathbf{Z}$, where the action $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ is determined by $\phi(1) = A \in \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbf{Z})$; and the characteristic polynomial $\Phi(t)$ of A is of the form $\Phi(t) = t^3 - mt^2 + nt - 1$ $(m, n \in \mathbf{Z})$. According to the above lemma, $\Phi(t)$ can have a double root a if and only if $a = 1$ or -1. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4. *There are ten classes of unimodular Lie algebras of dimension 4; eight classes of solvable Lie algebras obtained in the proposition 1 and 2, and two classes of reductive Lie algebras:* $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R})$ *and* $\mathfrak{u}(2) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$. *Their associated simply connected reductive Lie groups admit lattices, defining a properly elliptic surface and a Hopf surface respectively.*

Proof. Applying Levi decomposition, a Lie algebra of dimension 4 is either solvable or reductive of the form $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is a simple Lie algebra, which is either $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R})$ or $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Q.E.D.

We will see that most of non-Kähler complex surfaces of the form $\Gamma \backslash G$ with a unimodular Lie group G having a lattice Γ admit locally homogeneous l.c.K. structures (see [9]). In the following list the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by X, Y, Z, W with the specified brackets multiplication.

(1) Primary Kodaira surface: $[X, Y] = -Z$, and all other brackets vanish.

(2) Secondary Kodaira surface: $[X, Y] = -Z$, $[W, X] = -Y$, $[W, Y] = Z$, and all other brackets vanish.

(3) Inoue surface $S^{\pm}$: $[Y, Z] = -X$, $[W, Y] = Y$, $[W, Z] = -Z$, and all other brackets vanish.

(4) Inoue surface S^0: $[W, X] = -\frac{1}{2}X - bY$, $[W, Y] = bX - \frac{1}{2}Y$, $[W, Z] = Z$, and all other brackets vanish.

(5) Properly elliptic surface: $[X, Y] = -Z$, $[Z, X] = Y$, $[Z, Y] = -X$, and all other brackets vanish.

(6) Hopf surface: $[X, Y] = -Z$, $[Z, X] = -Y$, $[Z, Y] = X$, and all other brackets vanish.

For all cases, we have a homogeneous complex structure defined by

$$JX = Y, JY = -X, JZ = W, JW = -Z,$$

and its compatible l.c.K. form $\Omega = x \wedge y + z \wedge w$ with the Lee form $\theta = w$, where x, y, z, w are the Maure-Cartan forms corresponding to X, Y, Z, W respectively.

Remark 6. *For Inoue surfaces of type S^+, we have other homogeneous complex structures:*

$$JX = Y, JY = -X, JZ = W - qY, JW = -Z - qX,$$

with no-zero real number q, for which there exist no compatible l.c.K. structures (due to Belgun [1]).

Remark 7. *For properly elliptic surface and Hopf surfaces, we have other homogeneous complex structures*

$$JX = Y, JY = -X, JZ = W + dZ, J(W + dZ) = -Z,$$

with no-zero real number d;

Note 4. An Inoue surface of type S^- admits a locally homogeneous l.c.K. structure of the form $\Gamma\backslash G/H$. In fact, let $G = N \rtimes \mathbf{R}$ with the nilpotent Lie group N defined by

$$N = \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbf{R},\, y, x \in \mathbf{C}\},$$

and the action $\phi(t) : \mathbf{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ defined by

$$\phi(t) : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^t x & e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}\,t}\, z \\ 0 & 1 & a^{-t} e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}\,t}\, y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, -\frac{1}{a}\,(a > 1)$ are real eigenvalues of some $A \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. If we take a closed subgroup H of N defined by the condition $x = 0, y = \sqrt{-1}\,u, z =$

$\sqrt{-1}\,v$ $(u, v \in \mathbf{R})$ and $\Gamma = \Lambda \rtimes \mathbf{Z}$ with Λ a discrete subgroup of N defined by the condition $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\phi(1)$ preserves Λ, and $\Gamma\backslash G/H$ is an Inoue surface of type S^- which has an Inoue surface of type S^+ as a double covering (see [10] for more details). Note that the adjoint action on H on $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial mod $\mathfrak{h}$; hence a l.c.K. structure on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ defines a homogeneous l.c.K. structure on G/H. We can also interpret S^- as a locally homogeneous manifold $\widehat{\Gamma}\backslash\widehat{G}$, where $\widehat{G} = (N_{\mathbf{R}} \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbf{R}$ with the action $\hat{\psi} : \mathbf{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{Aut}(N_{\mathbf{R}})$ defined by

$$\hat{\psi}(s) : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & (-1)^s z \\ 0 & 1 & (-1)^s y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ x, y, z \in \mathbf{R},$$

the action $\hat{\phi} : \mathbf{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(N_{\mathbf{R}})$ defined by

$$\hat{\phi}(t) : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^t x & z \\ 0 & 1 & a^{-t} y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ x, y, z \in \mathbf{R};$$

and $\widehat{\Gamma} = (N_{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbf{Z}$ with the action $\tau : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathrm{Aut}(N_{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_2)$ defined by $\tau(1) = \hat{\phi}(1)\hat{\psi}(1) \times 1$.

Remark that in the first case H is a normal subgroup of G, and thus G is not effective on G/H; and in the second case $\widehat{G}$ is not connected.

Theorem 5. *Only locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifolds $\Gamma\backslash G/H$ of real dimension 4 with non-trivial subgroup H are Hopf surfaces of locally homogeneous type (see Note 1) and Inoue surfaces of type S^- (see Note 4).*

Proof. Let $M = G/H$ be a simply connected homogeneous l.c.K. manifold of dimension 4, where G is a connected Lie group with a closed subgroup H. G have the Levi-decomposition: $G = R \times G'$, where R is a simply connected solvable Lie group and G' is a simply connected semi-simple Lie group containing H. In case G' is non-trivial, we see as in the proof of Theorem 1 that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a non-trivial center containing the Lee field ξ. Hence G must be of the form $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times G', k = 1, 2$, where G' is a semi-simple Lie group containing H. Correspondingly, we have $M = \mathbf{R}^k \times G'/H$, where G'/H is diffeomorphic to $S^2 = \mathbf{C}P^1$ for the case $k = 2$ and S^3 for the case $k = 1$ (see [6]). The first case is excluded since it admits a Kähler structure. The second case is a Hopf surface $M = \Gamma\backslash(\mathbf{R} \times U(2))/U(1)$. In case G' is trivial, G is a solvable Lie group; and an Inoue surface of type S^- is the only locally homogeneous l.c.K. manifold with non-trivial H (see Note 4). Q.E.D.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express a special gratitude to D. Alekseevsky and V. Cortés for valuable discussions and useful comments.

References

[1] F. A. Belgun, *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), 1-40.

[2] A. Borel, *Kählerian coset spaces of semi-simple Lie groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **40** (1954), 721-734.

[3] W. M. Boothby and H.C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*,Ann. of Math., **68** (3) (1958), 721-734.

[4] J. Diximer, *Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **16** (1955), 246-250.

[5] S. Dragomir and L. Ornea, Locally conformal Kähler Geometry, in Progress in Math., **155**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, 1998.

[6] H. Geiges, *Normal contact structures on 3-manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **49** (1997), 415-422.

[7] P. Gauduchon and L. Ornea, *Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Hopf surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **48** (1998), 1107-1127.

[8] J. Hano, *On Kählerian homogeneous spaces of unimodular Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 885-900.

[9] K. Hasegawa, *Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds*, J. of Symplectic Geom. **3** (4) (2005), 749-767.

[10] G. Hochschild and J. P. Serre, *Cohomology of Lie algebras*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 591-603.

[11] K. Kamishima and L. Ornea, *Geometric flow on compact locally conformally Kähler manifolds*, Tohoku J. Math. **57** (2005), 201-221.

[12] Y. Matsushima, *Sur les espaces homogènes Kählerians d'un groupe de Lie réductif*, Nagoya Math. J. **11** (1957), 53-60.

[13] S. Murakami, *Sur Certains Espaces Fibès Principaux Holomorphic donts le Groupe est Abélien Connexe*, Osaka J. Math. **13** (1961), 143-167.

[14] K. Oeljeklaus and M. Toma, *Non-Kähler compact complex manifolds associated to number fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55** (2005), 1291-1300.

[15] T. Sasaki, *Classification of left invariant complex structures on* $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbf{R})$ *and* $\mathrm{U}(2)$, Kumamoto J. Math. **14** (1981), 115-123.

[16] H. Sawai, *Locally conformal Kähler structures on compact nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structures*, Geom. Dedicata **125** (2007) , 93-101.

[17] I. Vaisman, *On locally conformal almost K ahler manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **24** (1976), 338-351.

[18] I. Vaisman, *Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form*, Rend. Mat. (2)**12** (1979), 265-284.

[19] I. Vaisman, *A survey of generalized Hopf manifolds*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politecn. Torino, 1984, special issue.

[20] I. Vaisman, *Non-Kähler metrics on geometric complex surfaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politecn. Torino, **45** (1987), 117-123.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education
Niigata University, 8050 Ikarashi-Nino-cho
Nishi-ku, Niigata-shi, 950-2181, JAPAN
hasegawa@ed.niigata-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa
Hachioji-shi, 192-0397, JAPAN
kami@tmu.ac.jp